UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,417	04/07/2008	Donald L. Evans	G25-085US NAT	8422
	7590 07/11/201 IDOL SAPONE, P.C.		EXAMINER	
714 COLORAD	OO AVENUE		PAK, MICHAEL D	
BRIDGE PORT, CT 06605-1601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Cummery	10/588,417	EVANS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL PAK	1646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. tely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	- action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the off Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of the out	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

I. Types of invention

Group I, claim(s) 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, drawn to polypeptide, library, kit, pharmaceutical composition.

Group II, claim(s) 2 and 3, drawn to library comprising the polypeptide and kit.

Group III, claim(s) 4, drawn to a method of obtaining the polypeptide.

Group IV, claim(s) 5-6, 11-12, 14, drawn to a nucleic acid, a construct, vector, host cell, microarray, kit, and method of making the polypeptide.

Group V, claim(s) 7, 8, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising nucleic acid.

Group VI, claim(s) 10, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising polypeptide and second antimicrobial agent.

Group VII, claim(s) 10, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising nucleic acid and second antimicrobial agent.

Group VIII, claim(s) 13, drawn to a method of detecting the polypeptide by hybridization.

Group IX, claim(s) 15-19 drawn to method of preparing antibody, method of obtaining monoclonal antibody, library comprising antibody, kit comprising antibody.

Group X, claim(s) 20, drawn to method of identifying polypeptide with antibody.

Group XI, claim(s) 21, drawn to method of obtaining polypeptide with antibody.

Group XII, claim(s) 22, drawn to method of treatment by administering polypeptide.

Page 3

Group XIII, claim(s) 22, drawn to method of treatment by administering nucleic acid.

Group XII, claim(s) 23, drawn to method of identifying polypeptide by inhibition.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XII do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

Group I is drawn to a polypeptide. Pursuant 37 CFR 1.475(d), these claims are considered by the ISA/US to constitute the main invention, and none of the related groups II-IX correspond to the main invention.

The products of Group I-II and IV-VII do not share a special technical feature because the products have materially different structures and functions.

The product of Group I do not share the same special technical feature as the methods of group III and VIII-XII in any one of the pairing, because the product of group I is not produced by any one of the method of group III-IX, and each defines a separate invention over the art.

The methods of Group III and VIII-XII do not share a special technical feature because the methods have different steps and use materially different products.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and/or examination burden if restriction were not required because at least the following reason(s) apply:

The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, a separate status in the art when they are classifiable together, and a different field of search.

Part II: SEQ ID NO:

Furthermore, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121:

The inventions as they pertain to one SEQ ID NO:.

This is a further requirement for restriction into separately patentable groups.

Applicant must elect one sequence in order to be fully responsive. Because each sequence requires a unique search of the composition in the literature databases and undue search burden would be imposed on the examiner if all sequences were examined on one patent application.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement

Art Unit: 1646

will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

2. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,417

Art Unit: 1646

Page 6

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL PAK whose telephone number is (571)272-0879. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,417 Page 7

Art Unit: 1646

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit